# **Organizational Feasibility**

* **Will the organization use what is requested?**
  + Yes. The Community Engagement team is small and eager to use the system to decrease their manual workload.
* **Will end users adopt the system?**
  + One potential area of friction is adoption by end users. The department will have to inform the community about the system by distributing marketing materials and partnering with local libraries, schools, etc. to assist in promotion. However, the community is young and tech-savvy; once made aware of the application, adoption is likely to be high.
* **Will the management support the project?** 
  + The project has support at all levels of County management. The creation of the application will align with the Department’s initiative to promote physical activity and foster a sense of community
* **Is there a champion for the Project?**
  + The Department’s Director of Community Engagement is the primary stakeholder and project champion. She is committed to ensuring that the project has the internal resources necessary to succeed.

# **Risk assessment score sheet**

1. How long will it take to implement the game matchmaker?

X 1 to 6 months ……………………………………………………………………………….. 1

7 to 9 months ………………………………………………………………………………. 10

10 to 12 months ….………………………………………………………………………… 50

13 to 18 months ……………………………………………………………………………. 100

19 to 24 months …………………………………………………………………………… 250

Greater than 24 months ………………..………………………………………………….. 500

1. What is the estimated project cost?

$25,000 to 75,000 ………………………………………………………………………… 1

**X** $75,001 to $150,000 ………………………………………………………………….. 10

$150,0001 to 250,00 ………………………………………………………………….. 50

$250,001 to 500,000 ………………………………………………………………… 100

$500,001 to 1,000,000 ………….…………………………………………………… 250

$1,000,001 to 3,000,000 ………….………………………………………………… 500

Greater than $3,000,000 ………….………………………………………………….1000

1. Degree of anticipated change to existing business processes:

Low ….……………………………………………………………………………….. 1

Medium.………………………………………………………………………………. 10

High.………………………………………………………………………………….. 100

X Very High …………………………………………………………………………….. 250

1. Number of employees impacted by the system:

1 to 20 …………………………………………………………………………………. 1

21 to 50...…..…………………………………………………………………………… 10

X 51 to 100 ……………………………………………………………………………….. 50

101 to 250 ……………………………………………………………………………… 100

b 251 to 500 ……………………………………………………………………………… 250

501 to 1,000 …………………………………………………………………………… 500

Greater than 1,000 ……………………………..………………………………………. 1000

1. Number of agencies impacted by the system:

1 ………………………………………………………………………………………… 1

2 to 3 …………………………………………………………………………………….. 50

4 to 8 ………………………….………………………………………………………… 100

X Greater 8 …………………………..……………………………………………………. 250

1. Anticipated user attitude to the new system:

X Very Favorable …………………………………………………………………………. 1

Favorable ………………………………………………………………………………. 10

Neutral ………………………………………………………………………………….. 100

Unfavorable …………………………….……………………………………………….. 250

Very unfavorable ………………………………..……………………………………… 500

1. Number of existing applications that must receive data from the new system:

0 …………………………………………………………………………………………. 1

1 …………………………………………………………………………………………. 10

2 to 3 ……………………….…………………………………………………………... 100

X 4 to 5 ……………………………………………………………………………………. 250

Greater than 5 ………………………..…………………………………………………. 500

1. Volume of required data to be converted from existing system to new system:

X None ………………….………………………………………………………………… 1

1 to 10,000 records ……………………………...……………………………………… 10

10,001 to 50,000 records ………………………………………..……………………… 50

50,001 to 100,000 records ………………………………....…………………………….100

100,001 to 250,000 records …………………………………………………………….. 250

Greater than 250,000 records ………………………………….……………………….. 500

1. Complexity of the required conversion:

X No conversion required ………………………………………….………………………...1

Low …………………………………….…………………………………………………100

Medium …………………………………….……………………………………………. 250

High ……………………………………………………………………………………… 500

1. Will the system be implemented with an of the shelf system?

Partial ……………………………………………………………………………………. 100

Total ………………………………………………………………………………………. 250

X No …………………………………………………………………………………………. 500

1. Has the organization implemented similar system in size and complexity in the past?

X Yes …………………………………………………………………………………………. 1

No ………………….……………………………………………………………………… 500

1. Project team’s level of experience with the business being impacted:

X High ………………………………………………………………………………………… 1

Medium ……………………………...……………………………………………………. 100

Low ………….……………………………………………………………………………. 250

1. OIT staffs experience implementing systems with similar technologies:

X High ………………………………………………………………………………………… 1

Medium …………………………………………………………………………………….100

Low ………………………………………………………………………………………... 250

1. Senior executives’ support in the impacted agencies:

X High ………………………………………………………………………………………… 1

Medium …………………...………………………………………………………………. 100

Low …………….…………………………………………………………………………. 500

1. Other external entity such as the state is involved in the project:

X No external entity involved …………………………………….…………………………. 1

External entity is playing a minor role……………………………………………………. 250

External entity is an equal partner in the project.…………………………………………. 500

External entity is primarily in control of the project.…………………………………… 1000

Project score: 1319

Risk assessment based on the project score:

Very Low….…….………………………………………………………………. 113 to 1,000

X Low …….……………………………………………………………………….1,0001 to 2,500

Medium …………...….………………………………………………………….2,501 to 5,000

High …...…………….………………………………………………………….5,001 to 6,500

Very High …….…….………………………………………………………….6,501 to 7,750

Based on the risk analysis and assessment score of 1319, the project falls in the category of low risk. Therefore, the project has a higher chance of success to implement.